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ABSTRACT

Carry trade is considered to be the most popular foreign exchange speculation strategy. Carry traders take advantage of interest rate differential to make profit. It has been well documented that this strategy is very rewarding. This paper examines the profitability of using the Chinese yuan as investment currency against the two most popular funding currencies, the Japanese yen and the Swiss franc in carry trade. The results obtained from this paper showed that the use of Chinese yuan produced positive returns.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Carry trade is a foreign exchange speculation strategy that is conducted in a market that has a trading volume 12 times more than that of all the world’s stock markets combined (Triennial Central Bank Survey, 2010). Out of the trading volume of the foreign exchange market, only 10% is associated with international trade while the rest is attributed to speculative activities, where carry trade is the most widely speculation strategy used. Carry trade in its plain vanilla form is conducted by borrowing low-interest currencies and investing in high-interest currencies, taking advantage of the interest rate differential. Carry traders use this strategy to exploit the deviations from the uncovered interest rate parity (UIP) that was first documented by Bilson (1981) and Fama (1984). Uncovered interest rate parity (UIP) assumes that high interest rate currency should depreciate against low interest rate currency by the interest rate differential itself.

Due to its simplicity, carry trade was labelled by many researcher such as Jorda and Taylor (2009) and Reichenecker (2015) as a primitive and naïve strategy. But despite that, Neely and Weller (2013) showed that there is a growing body of literature indicating that carry trade has statistically and economically significant positive excess returns and a Sharpe ratio about double that of equity markets. Brunnermeier and Pedersen (2009) concluded that carry trade returns are much less variable than stock returns, with an annualized standard deviation of about 5 percent (compared to about 15 percent for stocks); as a result, the Sharpe ratio of the carry trade is double that of stock. Burnside et al. (2006) using British pound against the currencies of 9 developed countries for the period from 1977 to 2005 and found that the realized cumulative return from carry trade was similar to that of the S&P 500, but carry trade produced a Sharpe ratio of 0.20 compared to 0.14 for the S&P 500. Jurek (2014) used the currencies of the G10 countries for the period from 1:1990 to 6:2012 and found that carry trade produced a Sharpe ratio ranging from 0.40 to 0.55. Bakshi and Panayotov (2013) used the currencies of the G-10 currencies for the period from 1:1985 to 8:2011 and found that the average annualized return was between 1.95% to 2.70% with a Sharpe ratio ranging from 0.25 and 0.50. Burnside (2012) found that traditional risk factors used to price stock returns could not explain the returns of carry trade. Brunnermeier et al. (2008) suggests that carry trade returns are a compensation for the risk of market crash due to liquidity constrains. Burnside et al. (2011) contributed the returns of carry trade to the peso effect. While, Menkhoff et al. (2012) concluded that carry trade returns are a compensation for global foreign exchange volatility exposure. Carry trade is not only used as a speculative strategy, but it is also used for hedging. Pojarliev and Levich (2008) found weak correlation between carry trade returns and other asset classes making carry trade suitable for hedging. They also found that currency investing does not neatly fit into equity market models.

It have been well documented by many such as Darvas (2009); Burnside et al. (2011); Bakshi and Panayotov (2013) and Cenedese et al. (2014) that conducting carry trade as a portfolio would lead to a higher Sharpe ratio and lower volatility especially when developing countries’ currencies were included. Cenedese et al. (2014) conducted two carry trades portfolios, the first portfolio included the currencies of 10 advanced countries while the other had the currencies of the 10 advanced countries plus 12 currencies of emerging countries for the period from 1:1998 to 4:2013. The results showed that the portfolio of the advanced economies produced a mean annual return of 6.2% compared to 9.9% for the global portfolio, the standard deviation was 11.1% and 9.0% and the Sharpe ratio of 0.558 and 1.1 respectively.

2. METHOD

Carry traders base their decisions on the interest rate differential as the sole selection criteria. This naïve strategy has proven to be rewarding in most cases despite its simplicity. This operation works as follows:
Let $i_x$ and $i_y$ be the interest rates for currencies $x$ and $y$, respectively. In addition, let $S$ be the spot rate between the two currencies measured as one unit of $y$ against $x$, so appreciation of $y$ against $x$ would result in a higher $S$, and vice versa. Under carry trade, carry traders would go long currency $y$ and short currency $x$ if $i_y > i_x$ and vice versa. In this case the return on carry trade is given by:

$$\pi = \frac{S_{t+1}}{S_t} \left( 1 + i_y \right) - \left( 1 + i_x \right) \quad (1)$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\pi = (i_y - i_x) + \frac{S_{t+1}}{S_t} \quad (2)$$

Where $\frac{S_t}{S_{t+1}}$ is the percentage change in the exchange rate between $t$ and $t+1$. The carry trade operation is implicitly based on the assumption of random walk without drift (Moosa, 2004) which means that $\frac{S_t}{S_{t+1}} = 0$. Thus, carry trade is profitable as long as $(i_y - i_x) > -\frac{S_{t+1}}{S_t}$. (That is, as long as the interest rate differential is larger than the depreciation of currency $y$ against currency $x$.)

Because of the changes in interest rates differential, it is necessary to switch the role of the currencies, so the general formula for calculating the rate of return on the carry trade will be as follow:

$$\pi = \begin{cases} (i_y - i_x) + \frac{S_{t+1}}{S_t} & \text{if } i_y > i_x \\ (i_x - i_y) - \frac{S_{t+1}}{S_t} & \text{if } i_y < i_x \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

3. RESULTS

The empirical results obtained in this paper are based on two currencies combinations involving Chinese yuan against the Swiss franc, and the Japanese yen. Monthly data were used for the period from 1:2000 to 12:2015. Data were obtained from Reuters DataStream terminal and Yahoo finance website.

It can be seen from table (1) that both CNY/CHF and CNY/JPY produced positive returns over the sample period which is in line with the literature. CNY/JPY produced a mean return of 5.70% compared to 0.16% for CNY/CHF. While the returns generated from CNY/JPY carry trade were higher than those of the stock market indices, CNY/CHF did not outperform the stock market in terms of returns. The stock market indices produced returns of 3.56%, 1.83%, and 2.51% for the S&P 500, the Nikkei 225, and the Swiss market index respectively. When comparing the average return for both carry trade pairs, the average was 2.93% which was higher than the average return of the stock indices of 2.63%. When it comes to risk, the results support Burnside (2012) findings that carry trade returns have a lower volatility than the stock market. The standard deviation for carry trade returns ranged from 2.79 to 3.12 compared to 3.99 to 5.72 for the returns on stock markets. Brunnermeier and Pedersen (2009) and Neely and Weller (2013) concluded that carry trade produces a Sharpe ratio that is double of that of stock markets. The results presented in this paper does not fully supports their finding. While CNY/JPY produced a Sharpe ratio that is more than double of that of the stock market, CNY/CHF generated a Sharpe ratio that is much less than that on the stock markets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table-1. Main Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CNY/JPY</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR Differential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Return</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharpe Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VaR 95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VaR 99%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The exchange rate expressed as the base-currency is first and the quoted currency is second as conducted in foreign exchange market.
Value-at-risk (VaR) is the most common risk-adjusted-return measure used by investors. When it comes to VaR, it can be seen that carry trade showed a lower VaR than the stock market at both the 99% and the 95% confidence levels. At the 95% confidence level carry trade showed a VaR of 3.45 for CNY/JPY and 5.07 for the CNY/CHF compared to 7.95 for the S&P 500, 9.4 for the Nikkei 225, and 7.15 for the Swiss Market index. When it comes to VaR at the 99% confidence level, the results also show that carry trade had a much lower value-at-risk than the three stock market indices.

Following Darvas (2009) an equally weighted portfolio was constructed, and the results were mixed. When it comes to the mean return for the portfolio, it can be seen that the portfolio produced a mean return of 3.44% which was higher than CNY/CHF carry trade return but less than that of CNY/JPY. Looking at volatility, the results were in line with Burnside et al. (2011); Bakshi and Panayotov (2013) finding that conducting carry trade as a portfolio would result in a lower volatility. The portfolio also improved the Sharpe ratio since the portfolio produced a Sharpe ratio of 1.44 compared to a 1.05 for the average Sharpe ratio for the two pairs.

Conducting carry trade as a portfolio also, on an average, produced a lower value-at-risk in both confidence levels. At the 95% confidence level, the average VaR for the two pairs was 4.26 compared to 4.03 for the portfolio. While at the 99% confidence level, the portfolio produced a VaR of 4.74 which is lower than the 5.85 for the CNY/JPY and 6.99 for the CNY/CHF.

4. DISCUSSION

The results presented in this paper show that the use of Chinese yuan in carry trade in its simplest forms would yield positive returns. The use of Chinese yuan as an investment currency against the Japanese yen produced a mean return that is superior of that of the stock market indices, but that was not the case for CNY/CHF. The results also showed that carry trade not only produced a better mean return but it also had a better risk and enhanced the risk-adjusted returns compared to the stock indices. The paper also show that conducting carry trade in portfolio resulted in better returns, risk reduction, and risk-adjusted returns.
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Figure 1. Graphical Representation for Interest Rate Differential, Annual Return, and Change in Exchange Rates.